# **Estimated Productivity Benefit from Habitat Actions by Watershed/Population**

#### ESU: Middle Columbia River Steelhead

Estimates of future improvements to population egg-to-smolt productivity are based on estimated watershed improvements from the implementation of all tribal habitat actions in the MOA. Population improvements are standardized where 1.0 = the current production rate. For example a productivity improvement of 1.54 = 54% improvement over current conditions. Watersheds are weighted according to their relative importance.

### All Yakima Steelhead (Mainstem Effects)

Watershed	Est. Future Function for V (Calculated from all Limiti					Estimated Future Productivity	
		Current 10-Yr Est. 25-Yr Est.			10-Yr Impr.	25-Yr Impr.	
Lower Yakima River		73.8	81.	.4	86.2	1.1	1.17
Total Estimated Improvement for	All Y	akima Steelhea	d	Year	· 10 Impr.	Year 25 Imp	r.

(Mainstem Effects)

1.1 1.17

Estimates of future improvements to population egg-to-smolt productivity are based on estimated watershed improvements from the implementation of all tribal habitat actions in the MOA. Population improvements are standardized where 1.0 = the current production rate. For example a productivity improvement of 1.54 = 54% improvement over current conditions. Watersheds are weighted according to their relative importance.

### **Deschutes River Eastside Tributaries Summer Steelhead**

Watershed		Function for from all Limit			
	Current	10-Yr Est.	25-Yr Est.	10-Yr Impr.	25-Yr Impr.
Buck Hollow Creek	61.2	61.2	61.2	1	1
Deschutes River (Mainstem Effects)	76.5	85.8	90	1.12	1.18
Trout Creek	33.5	33.5	33.5	1	1

**Total Estimated Improvement for** 

Deschutes River Eastside Tributaries Summer Steelhead

Year 10 Impr.	Year 25 Impr.
1.02	1.03

Estimates of future improvements to population egg-to-smolt productivity are based on estimated watershed improvements from the implementation of all tribal habitat actions in the MOA. Population improvements are standardized where 1.0 = the current production rate. For example a productivity improvement of 1.54 = 54% improvement over current conditions. Watersheds are weighted according to their relative importance.

### **Deschutes River Westside Tributaries Summer Steelhead**

Watershed		Function for from all Limit	Estimated Future Productivity		
vvater sireu	Current	10-Yr Est.		10-Yr Impr.	
Badger/Boulder/Eagle/Nena/Skookum	47.2	69.8	81.5	1.48	1.73
Beaver Creek	46.6	63	84.2	1.35	1.81
Oak Creek	30	92.5	96.2	3.08	3.21
Shitike Creek	45	77.4	83.6	1.72	1.86
Warm Springs River	84.5	90	94.5	1.07	1.12

**Total Estimated Improvement for** 

Deschutes River Westside Tributaries Summer Steelhead

Year 10 Impr.	Year 25 Impr.
1.31	1.62

Estimates of future improvements to population egg-to-smolt productivity are based on estimated watershed improvements from the implementation of all tribal habitat actions in the MOA. Population improvements are standardized where 1.0 = the current production rate. For example a productivity improvement of 1.54 = 54% improvement over current conditions. Watersheds are weighted according to their relative importance.

### **Hood River Winter Steelhead**

		Function for	<b>Estimated Future</b>		
Watershed	(Calculated 1	<u>from all Limit</u>	ing Factors)	Productivity	
	Current	10-Yr Est.	25-Yr Est.	10-Yr Impr.	25-Yr Impr.
East Fork Hood River	70	80.8	85.8	1.15	1.23
Middle Fork Hood River	70	80	90	1.14	1.29
Middle Fork Hood River (Clear Branch)	15	80	90	5.33	6
Middle Fork Hood River (Eliot Branch)	10	85	95	8.5	9.5

<b>Total Estimated Improvement for</b>	<b>Hood River Winter</b>	Year 10 Impr.	Year 25 Impr.
	Steelhead	2.07	2.3

Estimates of future improvements to population egg-to-smolt productivity are based on estimated watershed improvements from the implementation of all tribal habitat actions in the MOA. Population improvements are standardized where 1.0 = the current production rate. For example a productivity improvement of 1.54 = 54% improvement over current conditions. Watersheds are weighted according to their relative importance.

### Klickitat River Steelhead

Watershed		Function for from all Limit	Estimated Future Productivity		
	Current	10-Yr Est.	25-Yr Est.	10-Yr Impr.	25-Yr Impr.
Klickitat Canyon	78.8	78.8	78.8	1	1
Lower Klickitat River	51	52.5	52.5	1.03	1.03
Lower Little Klickitat River	61.5	62	63.5	1.01	1.03
Middle Klickitat River	62.4	73.2	80.3	1.17	1.29
Swale Creek	39.2	47.6	53	1.21	1.35
Trout Creek	55.5	60.2	65.8	1.08	1.19
Upper Klickitat River	56.8	66.2	72.8	1.17	1.28
Upper Little Klickitat River	47.4	47.8	48.5	1.01	1.02
Upper Middle Klickitat River	75.8	76.4	77	1.01	1.02
West Fork Klickitat River	90	92	95	1.02	1.06
White Creek	45	52.2	59.2	1.16	1.32

<b>Total Estimated Improvement for</b>	Klickitat River Steelhead	Year 10 Impr.	Year 25 Impr.
		1.13	1.23

Estimates of future improvements to population egg-to-smolt productivity are based on estimated watershed improvements from the implementation of all tribal habitat actions in the MOA. Population improvements are standardized where 1.0 = the current production rate. For example a productivity improvement of 1.54 = 54% improvement over current conditions. Watersheds are weighted according to their relative importance.

## Lower John Day Summer Steelhead

		<b>Function for</b>	Estimated Future		
Watershed	(Calculated 1	<u>from all Limit</u>	ing Factors)	Productivity	
	Current	10-Yr Est.	25-Yr Est.	10-Yr Impr.	25-Yr Impr.
Butte Creek	36.5	62.2	69	1.7	1.89
Pine Creek	68.5	76.2	86.8	1.11	1.27
Pine Hollow	47	64	84	1.36	1.79
Thirtymile Creek	40.5	64.5	77.8	1.59	1.92

Total Estimated Improvement for Steelhead Summer Steelhead Year 10 Impr. Year 25 Impr. 1.6 1.91

Estimates of future improvements to population egg-to-smolt productivity are based on estimated watershed improvements from the implementation of all tribal habitat actions in the MOA. Population improvements are standardized where 1.0 = the current production rate. For example a productivity improvement of 1.54 = 54% improvement over current conditions. Watersheds are weighted according to their relative importance.

### Middle Columbia Tributaries Steelhead

Watershed		Function for from all Limit	Estimated Future Productivity		
	Current	10-Yr Est.	25-Yr Est.	10-Yr Impr.	25-Yr Impr.
Alder Creek	64.2	69.2	77	1.08	1.2
Glade Creek	62	67	71	1.08	1.15
Major Creek	52.5	61	75.2	1.16	1.43
Pine Creek (Jupiter Cyn to Headwaters)	45.8	54	61.5	1.18	1.34
Pine Creek (Mouth to Jupiter Cyn)	14	95.5	96.2	6.82	6.87
Wood Gulch	63.8	69.2	77.2	1.08	1.21

**Total Estimated Improvement for** 

Middle Columbia Tributaries Steelhead

Year 10 Impr.	Year 25 Impr.
2.25	2.38

Estimates of future improvements to population egg-to-smolt productivity are based on estimated watershed improvements from the implementation of all tribal habitat actions in the MOA. Population improvements are standardized where 1.0 = the current production rate. For example a productivity improvement of 1.54 = 54% improvement over current conditions. Watersheds are weighted according to their relative importance.

## Middle Fork John Day Summer Steelhead

Watershed		Est. Future Function for Watershed (Calculated from all Limiting Factors)			Estimated Future Productivity	
	Current	10-Yr Est.	25-Yr Est.	10-Yr Impr.	25-Yr Impr.	
Big Boulder Creek	38	76	88	2	2.32	
Big Creek	36	76	93	2.11	2.58	
Butte Creek	29.5	57.8	77.8	1.96	2.64	
Camp Creek	13.8	65.8	77.5	4.77	5.62	
Dead Cow Gulch	17	87	92	5.12	5.41	
Granite Boulder Creek	28.2	66.8	86.8	2.37	3.08	
Middle Fork John Day River	44.8	80	91	1.79	2.03	
Ragged Creek	32.5	61.2	85	1.88	2.62	
Rubby Creek	28.5	62	86.5	2.18	3.04	
Vincent Creek	47.5	72	90	1.52	1.89	
Vinegar Creek	38.2	83.2	88.2	2.18	2.31	

**Total Estimated Improvement for** 

Middle Fork John Day Summer Steelhead

Year 10 Impr.	Year 25 Impr.
2.04	2.37

Estimates of future improvements to population egg-to-smolt productivity are based on estimated watershed improvements from the implementation of all tribal habitat actions in the MOA. Population improvements are standardized where 1.0 = the current production rate. For example a productivity improvement of 1.54 = 54% improvement over current conditions. Watersheds are weighted according to their relative importance.

### **Naches River Summer Steelhead**

Watershed	Est. Future Function for Watershed (Calculated from all Limiting Factors)			Estimated Future Productivity	
watershed	Current	10-Yr Est.		10-Yr Impr.	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Naches River	70.1	76.2	85.3	1.09	1.22

<b>Total Estimated Improvement for</b>	Naches River Summer	Year 10 Impr.	Year 25 Impr.	
	Steelhead	1.09	1.22	

Estimates of future improvements to population egg-to-smolt productivity are based on estimated watershed improvements from the implementation of all tribal habitat actions in the MOA. Population improvements are standardized where 1.0 = the current production rate. For example a productivity improvement of 1.54 = 54% improvement over current conditions. Watersheds are weighted according to their relative importance.

## North Fork John Day Summer Steelhead

Watershed	Est. Future Function for Watershed (Calculated from all Limiting Factors)			Estimated Future Productivity	
	Current	10-Yr Est.	25-Yr Est.	10-Yr Impr.	25-Yr Impr.
Lower N Fk. JD and tribs (mouth to M Fk.)	36.5	37.3	38.5	1.02	1.05
Mid N Fk. JD and tribs (M Fk. to and including Camas Cr.)	45	56.5	68	1.26	1.51
Upper N Fk. JD and tribs above Camas Cr.	62	72	82	1.16	1.32

**Total Estimated Improvement for** 

North Fork John Day Summer Steelhead

Year 10 Impr.	Year 25 Impr.
1.17	1.32

Estimates of future improvements to population egg-to-smolt productivity are based on estimated watershed improvements from the implementation of all tribal habitat actions in the MOA. Population improvements are standardized where 1.0 = the current production rate. For example a productivity improvement of 1.54 = 54% improvement over current conditions. Watersheds are weighted according to their relative importance.

### **Rock Creek Steelhead**

Watershed		Function for from all Limit	Estimated Future Productivity		
	Current 10-Yr Est. 25-Yr Est.			10-Yr Impr.	25-Yr Impr.
Luna Gulch	65.5	70.5	76	1.08	1.16
Quartz Creek	40.5	51.2	70	1.26	1.73
Rock Creek (Bickleton Road to Headwaters)	38	47.5	66.8	1.25	1.76
Rock Creek (Mouth to Bickleton Road)	35.8	45.5	59	1.27	1.65
Squaw Creek (including Harrison Creek)	65.5	70.5	76	1.08	1.16

<b>Total Estimated Improvement for</b>	Rock Creek Steelhead	Year 10 Impr.	Year 25 Impr.
		1.2	1.52

Estimates of future improvements to population egg-to-smolt productivity are based on estimated watershed improvements from the implementation of all tribal habitat actions in the MOA. Population improvements are standardized where 1.0 = the current production rate. For example a productivity improvement of 1.54 = 54% improvement over current conditions. Watersheds are weighted according to their relative importance.

### Satus Creek Summer Steelhead

Watershed	Est. Future Function for Watershed (Calculated from all Limiting Factors)			Estimated Future Productivity	
	Current 10-Yr Est.				25-Yr Impr.
Satus Creek	75	80	85.8	1.07	1.14

<b>Total Estimated Improvement for</b>	Satus Creek Summer	Year 10 Impr.	Year 25 Impr.
	Steelhead	1.07	1.14

Estimates of future improvements to population egg-to-smolt productivity are based on estimated watershed improvements from the implementation of all tribal habitat actions in the MOA. Population improvements are standardized where 1.0 = the current production rate. For example a productivity improvement of 1.54 = 54% improvement over current conditions. Watersheds are weighted according to their relative importance.

## South Fork John Day Summer Steelhead

	Est. Future	Function for	Estimated Future		
Watershed	(Calculated from all Limiting Factors)			Productivity	
	Current 10-Yr Est. 25-				25-Yr Impr.
South Fork John Day River	53	78	80.4	1.47	1.52

<b>Total Estimated Improvement for</b>	South Fork John Day	Year 10 Impr.	Year 25 Impr.
	Summer Steelhead	1.47	1.52

Estimates of future improvements to population egg-to-smolt productivity are based on estimated watershed improvements from the implementation of all tribal habitat actions in the MOA. Population improvements are standardized where 1.0 = the current production rate. For example a productivity improvement of 1.54 = 54% improvement over current conditions. Watersheds are weighted according to their relative importance.

## **Toppenish Creek Summer Steelhead**

Watershed	Est. Future Function for Watershed (Calculated from all Limiting Factors)			Estimated Future Productivity	
	Current	10-Yr Est.	25-Yr Est.	10-Yr Impr.	25-Yr Impr.
Toppenish Creek	63	71	77.8	1.13	1.23

<b>Total Estimated Improvement for</b>	Toppenish Creek Summer	Year 10 Impr.	Year 25 Impr.	
	Steelhead	1.13	1.23	

Estimates of future improvements to population egg-to-smolt productivity are based on estimated watershed improvements from the implementation of all tribal habitat actions in the MOA. Population improvements are standardized where 1.0 = the current production rate. For example a productivity improvement of 1.54 = 54% improvement over current conditions. Watersheds are weighted according to their relative importance.

#### **Umatilla River Summer Steelhead**

Watershed	Est. Future (Calculated				
	Current	10-Yr Est.	25-Yr Est.	10-Yr Impr.	25-Yr Impr.
Birch Creek	36	55.8	73	1.55	2.03
Meacham Creek	37.5	55.5	74.5	1.48	1.99
Umatilla above McKay Creek	43	53	63	1.23	1.47
Umatilla below McKay Creek	43	53	63	1.23	1.47

Total Estimated Improvement for Steelhead Umatilla River Summer Steelhead Year 10 Impr. Year 25 Impr. 1.37 1.74

Estimates of future improvements to population egg-to-smolt productivity are based on estimated watershed improvements from the implementation of all tribal habitat actions in the MOA. Population improvements are standardized where 1.0 = the current production rate. For example a productivity improvement of 1.54 = 54% improvement over current conditions. Watersheds are weighted according to their relative importance.

## **Upper John Day Summer Steelhead**

Watershed							
	Current	10-Yr Est.	25-Yr Est.	10-Yr Impr.	25-Yr Impr.		
Canyon Creek	10	12	13	1.2	1.3		
John Day River	33	53	66	1.61	2		
Strawberry Creek	14.5	62.5	70.5	4.31	4.86		

<b>Total Estimated Improvement for</b>	Upper John Day Summer	Year 10 Impr.	Year 25 Impr.	
	Steelhead	1.84	2.22	

Estimates of future improvements to population egg-to-smolt productivity are based on estimated watershed improvements from the implementation of all tribal habitat actions in the MOA. Population improvements are standardized where 1.0 = the current production rate. For example a productivity improvement of 1.54 = 54% improvement over current conditions. Watersheds are weighted according to their relative importance.

## Upper Yakima River Summer Steelhead

	Est. Future Function for Watershed (Calculated from all Limiting Factors) Estimated Future Productivity				d Future	
Watershed					(Calculated from all Limit	
	Current	10-Yr Est.	25-Yr Est.	10-Yr Impr.	25-Yr Impr.	
Upper Yakima River	61.6	67.6	75.4	1.1	1.22	

<b>Total Estimated Improvement for</b>	Upper Yakima River	Year 10 Impr.	Year 25 Impr.	
	Summer Steelhead	1.1	1.22	

Estimates of future improvements to population egg-to-smolt productivity are based on estimated watershed improvements from the implementation of all tribal habitat actions in the MOA. Population improvements are standardized where 1.0 = the current production rate. For example a productivity improvement of 1.54 = 54% improvement over current conditions. Watersheds are weighted according to their relative importance.

### Walla Walla River Summer Steelhead

Watershed		Function for from all Limit	Estimated Future Productivity		
	Current	10-Yr Est.		10-Yr Impr.	*
Mill Creek	25	56	76	2.24	3.04
Touchet Below Forks	30	38	48	1.27	1.6
Touchet N & S Forks	32	38	56	1.19	1.75
Walla Walla below Forks	28	40	54	1.43	1.93
Walla Walla N & S forks	56	61	66	1.09	1.18

**Total Estimated Improvement for** 

Walla Walla River Summer Steelhead

Year 10 Impr.	Year 25 Impr.
1.43	1.9